

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/964,869	09/28/2001	Yong Bum Kim	054358-5007	1477	
9629	7590 09/23/2003				
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			EXAM	EXAMINER	
	SYLVANIA AVENUE N' ON, DC 20004	W	LEE, EU	LEE, EUGENE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2815		

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Commence	09/964,869	KIM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eugene Lee	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 J</u>	<u>uly 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-9 and 24-26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-9 and 24-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

£,

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Objections

1. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 21-23 have been renumbered 24-26.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 4 thru 8, and 24 thru 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takao et al. 4,917,471. Takao discloses (see, for example, FIG. 2) a liquid crystal display comprising a first substrate 12, second substrate 13, first orientation film 18, second orientation film 17, color filters R, G, B, and liquid crystal 14. The second orientation film has first, second, and third thicknesses with correspondingly the greatest thickness (first thickness) over the highest blue filter, a medium thickness (second thickness) over the medium green filter, and the smallest thickness (third thickness) over the smallest red filter. Regarding claim 7, see,

Application/Control Number: 09/964,869 Page 3

Art Unit: 2815

for example, column 4, line 2 wherein Takao states the liquid crystal as ferroelectric. Regarding claim 8, the orientation films are directed parallel to the substrates.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takao et al. 4,917,471. Takao does not disclose the first, second, third thicknesses differing from each other by approximately 0.01 to 0.1 um. However, Takao shows (see FIG. 2) the orientation film thickest over the blue filter and the thinnest over the red filter with the thickness of the orientation film over the green filter being in between. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to use this range for the different thicknesses of the orientation film over each pixel in order to accommodate the different sizes of the color filters, and since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4-9, and 24-26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,869

Art Unit: 2815

Regarding the applicant's argument that Takao does not disclose the "first, second, and third thicknesses of the second orientation film corresponding to the blue, green, and red regions are each different", the Examiner does not find this argument persuasive. In FIG.2, Takao discloses color filters of varying heights wherein an overlying second orientation film 17 has different thicknesses based on the varying heights of the color filters. The "thickest" color filter B has the thickest orientation film, the less "thick" middle color filter G has a slightly less thick orientation film, and the least "thick" color filter R has an even slightly less thick orientation film. Even though these thicknesses are close to each other, it is indisputable from FIG. 2 that the second alignment film does have different thicknesses over different color filters with the most thick alignment film over the "most thick" color filters and the least thick alignment film over the "least thick" color filters.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2815

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO CONTACT THE USPTO

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Lee whose telephone number is 703-305-5695. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C. Lee can be reached on 703-308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Eugene Lee September 17, 2003

> EDDIE LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800